

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/380,534

EXAMINER
----------

HUYNH, P.

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

DATE MAILED:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHUONG HUYNH (3) MARC T. MORLEY  
(2) CARISTINA CHAN (4) DALE C. HUNT  
Date of Interview 11/16/04 5 ADRIAN BOT  
6 DAVID DIAMOND  
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 72-91Identification of prior art discussed: Grohmann et al (J Immunol Methods 137(1):9-15, 1991)  
Sadava et al (Biotherapy 4(2):845,851)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant will amend Claim 79 as suggested to overcome the  
Grohmann Reference and Sado et al Reference  
Claims will be allowed if amend to recite "antigen specific  
selecting an antigen delivering the antigen to a lymphatic system of the  
mammal on a sustained basis over a period of time at a level -- induce  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) an antigen specific sustained effector CTL response

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Phy. J. J. J.

11/16/04